



UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
U.S. MARINE CORPS FORCES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND
PSC BOX 20116
CAMP LEJEUNE NC 28542 0116

1920
SJA
OCT 11 2018

From: [REDACTED]
To: Secretary of the Navy
Via: (1) Commander, U.S. Marine Corps Forces, Special
Operations Command
(2) Commander, U.S. Marine Corps Forces Command
(3) Commandant of the Marine Corps (JAM)
Subj: REPORT OF THE BOARD OF INQUIRY IN THE CASE OF [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Ref: (a) SECNAVINST 1920.6C
(b) MCO 5800.16-V15 (LSAM)
(c) Commander, MARFORCOM, ltr 1920 SJA of 7 Jun 18
(d) Commander, MARFORSOC, ltr 1900 SJA of 25 Jun 18
Encl: (1) Transcript of the Board's proceedings
(2) Government Exhibits
(3) Respondent Exhibits
(4) Findings and Recommendations Worksheet
(5) Certificate of Service
(6) Acknowledgement of Receipt
(7) Privacy Act Statement

1. This report is submitted per enclosure (7) of reference (a) and paragraph 4007.2.h of reference (b).

2. As directed by references (c) and (d), a Board of Inquiry (BOI) convened at U.S. Marine Corps Forces, Special Operations Command aboard Camp Lejeune, North Carolina and conducted a hearing in accordance with the requirements of references (a) and (b).

3. Enclosures (1)-(7) are forwarded pursuant to references (a) and (b).

4. Reasons for showing cause for retention. The purpose of the BOI was to recommend whether the respondent should be separated from the U.S. Marine Corps, and if so, the appropriate

Subj: REPORT OF THE BOARD OF INQUIRY IN THE CASE OF [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

characterization of service. The Board considered these specific reasons for separation:

- a. Substandard performance of duty.
- b. Misconduct, moral or professional dereliction

5. Acts alleged. The specific acts supporting the above bases are:

a. Failure to demonstrate acceptable qualities of leadership required of an officer in the member's grade.

b. Failure to properly discharge duties expected of officers of the member's grade and experience.

c. Commission of a military or civilian offense which could be punished by confinement of 6 months or more and any other misconduct which would require specific intent for conviction. Specifically, the respondent violated Articles 133, conduct unbecoming of an officer and gentleman, and Article 134, adultery.

6. Respondent's position with respect to the allegation(s). The respondent admitted to committing adultery.

7. Findings. The Board found that a preponderance of the evidence proved the allegations. See enclosures (1) and (4).

8. Recommendations. The Board recommended that the respondent be separated with Honorable characterization of service. See enclosures (1) and (4).

9. Service and background. As required by reference (a), the following information is submitted concerning the respondent's service record and background:

- a. Date of birth: 12 October 1977
- b. Marital Status: Married
- c. Civilian Education: Masters Degree
- d. Date of Appointment: 10 June 2004

Subj: REPORT OF THE BOARD OF INQUIRY IN THE CASE OF [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

e. Date of Rank: 1 January 2017

f. MOS: 0370

g. Service Schools: See enclosure (2).

h. Decorations/awards: See enclosure (3).

i. Disciplinary History: Non-Judicial Punishment on 27 April 2018.

10. Preservice misconduct. The Board did not consider any preservice misconduct.

11. Misconduct older than five years. The Board did not consider misconduct identified more than five years prior to the initiation of processing for separation.

12. Minority report. There is no minority report.

13. Respondent's counsel will be served with a copy of this Report, enclosure (5). Respondent's counsel will have 10 calendar days from the date of service to submit written comments to this Report.

14. [REDACTED] will be afforded the opportunity to read this Report. [REDACTED] will acknowledge that adverse information pertaining to this incident may be included in his/her Official Military Personnel File (OMPF), enclosure (6). [REDACTED] will have 10 calendar days from receipt of this Report to submit written comments for inclusion in his OMPF.

[REDACTED]